### Club Cast3M 2017 – Paris, 24 November 2017

## A two-compartment hierarchical porous medium system for vascular tumor growth: theory and implementation in Cast3M

Giuseppe Sciumè

I2M / University of Bordeaux – Esplanade des Arts et Métiers 33405 - Talence France





## PHYSICS & CANCER

### →What does physics have to do with cancer?

#### Franziska Michor et al. – NATURE REVIEWS | CANCER VOLUME 11 | SEPTEMBER 2011 | 657

 Transport OncoPhysics: multiscale modelling of cancer growth and multiparameter response to therapy; multiscale imaging; and multiscale probes

## → Getting physical

#### Jennie Dushek – NATURE | VOL 491 | 22 NOVEMBER 2012 | S50

Physics, maths and evolutionary biology are among the scientific disciplines providing cancer research with fresh perspective and therapeutic approaches.

"As biology begins to confront the limits of the molecular approach which has yielded vast amounts of data but not always clear understanding — some scientists have returned to a more biomechanical view of life, and their research is starting to bear fruit."



## MECHANICS & CANCER

## →The forces of cancer

Erica Jonietz - NATURE | VOL 491 | 22 NOVEMBER 2012 | S56

→ The way cells mechanically interact with each other and their environment could help researchers understand the invasion and metastasis of solid tumors.

The aim is here to exploit **porous media mechanics and multiphase flow dynamics** to better understand some paradigms of **tumor growth mechanobiology** 



### Multiphase System

### **5 PHASES ARE CONSIDERED**

### 1 SOLID SCAFFOLD, (S)

#### Permeated by

4 IMMISCIBLE FLUID PHASES:

- Tumor cells, (t), (tN + tL)
- Host cells, (h)
- Interstitial fluid, (I)
- Blood (b)



*Taken from ORIGENE.com* – Immunohistochemical staining of paraffin-embedded Human normal ovary tissue (left) and ovary cancer tissue (rigth)



### Multiphase System

### **5 PHASES ARE CONSIDERED**

### 1 SOLID SCAFFOLD, (S)

#### Permeated by

4 IMMISCIBLE FLUID PHASES:

- Tumor cells, (t), (tN + tL)
- Host cells, (h)
- Interstitial fluid, (I)
- Blood (b)



*Taken from ORIGENE.com* – Immunohistochemical staining of paraffin-embedded Human normal ovary tissue (left) and ovary cancer tissue (rigth)





## Phases definition & preliminary hypotheses

$$\mathcal{E}^{s} + \mathcal{E}^{b} + \mathcal{E}^{t} + \mathcal{E}^{h} + \mathcal{E}^{l} = 1$$
Vascular porosity
$$\mathcal{E}: \text{Extra-vascular porosity}$$

- Vascular porosity ε<sup>b</sup> is always saturated by blood;
- Saturation degree is defined for extra-vascular porosity only as:  $S^{\beta} = \varepsilon^{\beta} / \varepsilon$   $(\beta = t, h, l)$

### Porosity, and saturation constraint:

$$\varepsilon = 1 - \varepsilon^b - \varepsilon^s$$

 $S^{l} + S^{t} + S^{h} = 1$ 

- ECM fibers (dominant species with structural function);
- Vessel walls (they assure immiscibility between blood and other fluid phases).



### Definition of porosity and saturation constraint:

$$\varepsilon = 1 - \varepsilon^{b} - \varepsilon^{s}$$
$$S^{l} + S^{t} + S^{h} = 1$$

Mass c. eqs for necrotic TC and  $\omega^{it}$  constraint (2 scalar independent eqs)

$$\frac{\mathsf{D}^{s}\left(\rho^{t}\varepsilon S^{t}\omega^{\overline{Nt}}\right)}{\mathsf{Dt}} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho^{t}\varepsilon S^{t}\omega^{\overline{Nt}}\mathbf{v}^{\overline{ts}}\right) + \rho^{t}\varepsilon S^{t}\omega^{\overline{Nt}}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}} - \varepsilon^{t}r^{Nt} = 0 \qquad \omega^{\overline{Lt}} = 1 - \omega^{\overline{Nt}}$$

### Mass c. eqs of EC species in HC (1 scalar independent eqn)

$$\frac{\mathbf{D}^{s}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{h}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{h}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{ECh}}\right)}{\mathbf{D}t} + \nabla\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{h}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{h}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{ECh}}\mathbf{u}^{\overline{ECh}}\right) + \nabla\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{h}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{h}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{ECh}}\mathbf{v}^{\overline{hs}}\right) + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{h}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{h}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{ECh}}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}} = \overset{l\to ECh}{M} - \overset{ECh\to s}{\overset{ang}{M}}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{ECh}}\mathbf{u}^{\overline{ECh}} = -\mathbf{D}^{ECh}\nabla\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{ECh}} + \mathbf{D}^{ECh}\frac{C\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{ECh}}}{1 - C\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{TAFl}}}\nabla\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{TAFl}}$$
$$\text{Derived from the SEI (TCAT: paper 5 - W. G. Gray, C. T. Miller)}$$



Mass c. eqs for necrotic TC and  $\omega^{it}$  constraint (2 scalar independent eqs)

$$\frac{\mathsf{D}^{s}\left(\rho^{t}\varepsilon S^{t}\omega^{\overline{Nt}}\right)}{\mathsf{Dt}} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho^{t}\varepsilon S^{t}\omega^{\overline{Nt}}\mathbf{v}^{\overline{ts}}\right) + \rho^{t}\varepsilon S^{t}\omega^{\overline{Nt}}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}} - \varepsilon^{t}r^{Nt} = 0 \qquad \omega^{\overline{Lt}} = 1 - \omega^{\overline{Nt}}$$

Mass c. eqs of EC species in HC (1 scalar independent eqn)

$$\frac{\mathbf{D}^{s}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{h}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{h}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{ECh}}\right)}{\mathbf{D}\mathbf{t}} + \nabla\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{h}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{h}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{ECh}}\mathbf{u}^{\overline{ECh}}\right) + \nabla\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{h}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{h}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{ECh}}\mathbf{v}^{\overline{hs}}\right) + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{h}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{h}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{ECh}}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}} = \overset{l\to ECh}{M} - \overset{ECh\to s}{\overset{ang}{M}}$$

Mass c. eqs OXY and TAF species in IF (2 scalar independent eqs)

$$\frac{\mathbf{D}^{s}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{l}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{l}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{OXYl}}\right)}{\mathbf{D}\mathbf{t}} + \nabla\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{l}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{l}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{OXYl}}\mathbf{v}^{\overline{ls}}\right) + \nabla\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{l}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{l}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{OXYl}}\mathbf{u}^{\overline{OXYl}}\right) + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{l}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{l}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{OXYl}}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}} = \overset{b\to OXYl}{M} - \overset{OXYl\to t}{M}$$
$$\frac{\mathbf{D}^{s}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{l}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{l}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{TAFl}}\right)}{\mathbf{D}\mathbf{t}} + \nabla\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{l}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{l}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{TAFl}}\mathbf{v}^{\overline{ls}}\right) + \nabla\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{l}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{l}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{TAFl}}\mathbf{u}^{\overline{OXYl}}\right) + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{l}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{l}\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\overline{TAFl}}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}} = \overset{t\to TAFl}{M}$$

### Mom. c. eqn multiphase system (3 scalar independent eqs)

Summing **[mo.α]** over all phases gives the momentum equation of the whole multiphase system as:

 $\kappa \rightarrow \alpha$ (Summation allows eliminating momentum transfer terms **T**)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{t}^{\overline{T}} = 0 \qquad [\text{mo.system}]$$

where  $\mathbf{t}^{\overline{T}}$  is the total stress tensor:  $\mathbf{t}^{\overline{T}} = \varepsilon^s \mathbf{t}^{\overline{s}} - \sum \varepsilon^f p^f \mathbf{1}$ f = t.h.l.b

Mom. c. eqs for fluid phases (12 scalar independent eqs)

$$\mathcal{E}^{f} \nabla p^{f} + \mathbf{R}^{f} \cdot (\mathbf{v}^{\overline{f}} - \mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}}) = 0 \qquad [\text{mo-a.}f]$$
Resistance tensor with  $f = t, h, l, b$ 

Resistance tensor

### **Model closure**

### Summary of model conservation eqs

•	Mass c. eqs of phases	nt eqs
•	Mass c. eqs of TC species	nt eqn
•	Mass c. eqs of HC species	nt eqn
•	Mass c. eqs of IF species	ent eqs
•	Mom. c. eqs multiphase system	nt eqs
•	Mom. c. eqs for fluid phases	<u>ent eqs</u>
	Total : 27 scalar independent eqs	

### Summary of model independent variables

Phase	Phase indicator	Species	Associated variables	N. equivalent scalar variables
Solid	S	-	$arepsilon^s, arepsilon,  ho^s, \mathbf{t}^{ar{\overline{\mathrm{T}}}}, \mathbf{v}^{ar{\mathrm{s}}}$	12
Tumor cells	t	Lt, Nt	$S^{t}, \rho^{t}, p^{t}, \mathbf{v}^{\overline{t}}, \omega^{\overline{L}t}, \omega^{\overline{N}t}$	8
Host cells	h	ECh	$S^{h},  ho^{h}, p^{h}, \mathbf{v}^{ar{h}}, \omega^{\overline{ECh}}, \mathbf{u}^{\overline{ECh}}$	10
Interstitial fluid	l	OXYl, TAFl	$S^{l},  ho^{l}, p^{l}, \mathbf{v}^{\overline{l}}, \omega^{\overline{OXYl}}, \omega^{\overline{TAFl}}, \mathbf{u}^{\overline{OXYl}}, \mathbf{u}^{\overline{TAFl}}$	14
Blood vessels	b	-	$ ho^{b}, p^{b}, oldsymbol{arepsilon}^{ar{b}} \mathbf{v}^{ar{b}}$	6
			Total of scalar unknowns	50

23 Constitutive/state eqs are needed for model closure

### Model closure / assuming phases incompressibility\*

### Summary of model conservation eqs

•	Mass c. eqs of phases	dependent eqs
•	Mass c. eqs of TC species	ndependent egn
•	Mass c. eqs of HC species	ndependent eqn
•	Mass c. eqs of IF species	ndependent eqs
•	Mom. c. eqs multiphase system	<u>idependent eqs</u>
•	Mom. c. eqs for fluid phases <u>12 scalar i</u>	<u>ndependent eqs</u>
	Total : 27 scalar independent eqs	

### Summary of model independent variables

Phase Phase Species		Species	Associated variables	N. equivalent scalar variables	
Solid	S	-	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{s}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{\rho}^{s}, \mathbf{t}^{\overline{\overline{T}}}, \mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}}$	12	
Tumor cells	t	Lt, Nt	$S^{t}, \mathbf{p}^{t}, p^{t}, \mathbf{v}^{\overline{t}}, \omega^{\overline{L}t}, \omega^{\overline{N}t}$	8	
Host cells	h	ECh	$S^{h}, p^{h}, p^{h}, \mathbf{v}^{\overline{h}}, \omega^{\overline{ECh}}, \mathbf{u}^{\overline{ECh}}$	10	
Interstitial fluid	l	OXYl, TAFl	$S^{I}, \mathbf{v}^{I}, p^{I}, \mathbf{v}^{\overline{I}}, \omega^{\overline{OXYI}}, \omega^{\overline{TAFI}}, \mathbf{u}^{\overline{OXYI}}, \mathbf{u}^{\overline{TAFI}}$	14	
Blood vessels	b	-	$(p^b, p^b, {m {arepsilon}}^b {f v}^{ar b})$	6	
			Total of scalar unknowns	45	

### 18 Constitutive/state eqs are needed for model closure

\*this does not mean that the overall ECM scaffold is incompressible because porosity is inside.

- State equation for ε<sup>b</sup>
   1 eqn
- Mechanical constitutive model 6 eqs
- Two pressure-saturation eqs
   2 eqs
- Fick's law for species diffusion 9 eqs





# **Effective Stress Tensor**

A non-conventional form accounting for hierarchy of porous compartments

## Effective Stress Tensor: a non-conventional form



Summing of phase tensors weighed by their own volume fraction gives the total stress tensor as

$$\mathbf{t}^{\overline{\mathrm{T}}} = \sum_{\alpha=s,t,h,l,b} \varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathbf{t}^{\overline{\alpha}} = \varepsilon^{s} \left( \mathbf{\tau}^{\overline{s}} - p^{s} \mathbf{1} \right) - \sum_{f=t,h,l,b} \varepsilon^{f} p^{f} \mathbf{1}$$



## Effective Stress Tensor: a non-conventional form

$$\mathbf{t}^{\overline{\mathrm{T}}} = \sum_{\alpha=s,t,h,l,b} \varepsilon^{\alpha} \mathbf{t}^{\overline{\alpha}} = \varepsilon^{s} \left( \mathbf{\tau}^{\overline{s}} - p^{s} \mathbf{1} \right) - \sum_{f=t,h,l,b} \varepsilon^{f} p^{f} \mathbf{1}$$

*Hypothesis 1:* blood vessels are mostly surrounded by extra-vascular fluids (*TC*, *HC* and *IF*), hence they have no relevant mechanical interaction with "structural" ECM fibers

*Hypothesis 2:* the solid pressure, *p*<sup>s</sup>, is assumed being related with pressures of extra-vascular fluids (*TC*, *HC* and *IF*) only:

$$p^{s} = \sum_{\beta=t,h,l} S^{\beta} p^{\beta} = S^{t} p^{t} + S^{h} p^{h} + S^{l} p^{l}$$

$$\mathbf{t}^{\overline{\overline{E}}} = \varepsilon^{s} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\overline{s}} = \mathbf{t}^{\overline{\overline{T}}} + p^{s} \mathbf{1} - \varepsilon^{b} \left( p^{s} - p^{b} \right) \mathbf{1}$$
EFFECTIVE STRESS TENSOR (EST)

Material objective time derivative of the effective stress tensor :

$$\dot{\mathbf{t}}^{\overline{E}} = \dot{\mathbf{t}}^{\overline{T}} + (1 - \varepsilon^{b})\dot{p}^{s}\mathbf{1} + \varepsilon^{b}\dot{p}^{b}\mathbf{1} - (p^{s} - p^{b})\dot{\varepsilon}^{b}\mathbf{1}$$

EST induces all deformations of ECM scaffold:  $\mathbf{\dot{t}}^{\overline{E}} = \mathbf{C}_{ECM} : \mathbf{d}$ 

Introducing this constitutive relationship in the previous eqn gives:

$$\dot{\mathbf{t}}^{\overline{\mathrm{T}}} = \mathbf{C}_{ECM} : (\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}_{SW})$$
$$\mathbf{d}_{SW} = d_{SW} \mathbf{1} = \left(\frac{(1 - \varepsilon^{b})}{3K} \dot{p}^{s} + \frac{\varepsilon^{b}}{3K} \dot{p}^{b} - \frac{(p^{s} - p^{b})}{3K} \dot{\varepsilon}^{b}\right) \mathbf{1}$$









Vascular model ( $\varepsilon^{b}$  depends on ( $p^{b} - p^{extra-vascular}$ )):

$$\varepsilon^{b}\left(p^{b}, p^{s}, \Gamma\right) = \varepsilon_{0}^{b}\left(1 + \alpha\Gamma\right)\left(1 - \frac{p^{s} - p^{b}}{K^{vess}}\right)$$

Internal variable describing **angiogenesis** (no angiogenesis)  $0 \le \Gamma \le 1$  (angiogenesis fully developed)





"How does it work?"

**ECM** interacts with **blood vessel** *via* extravascular fluids

**Comment:** This is a reasonable assumption for the considered system and simplifies importantly the mathematical formulation.





### MATERIAL DATA

E	V	3	k <sub>e</sub>	$\mu_{f}$	E <sub>b0</sub>	<b>K</b> <sub>vess</sub>	k <sub>vess</sub>	$\mu_b$
5000	0.2	0.5	1.10 <sup>-14</sup>	1	0.1	5000	1.10 <sup>-15</sup>	0.02
Extra-vascular porosity data					Vaso	cular po	orosity o	data











#### 26



## Mechanical model for the solid scaffold

### Hence the stress-strain constitutive law reads

$$\dot{\mathbf{t}}^{\overline{\mathrm{T}}} = \mathbf{C}_{ECM} : \left(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{d}_{SW}\right)$$



### Vascular model with angiogenesis (VMA)





### **Reduced models:**

• Vascular model without angiogenesis (VM):  $\beta = \varepsilon_0^b \left( 1 - 2 \frac{p^s - p^b}{K^{vess}} \right) \quad \dot{p}^{ang} = 0$ 

• Avascular model (AM): 
$$\beta = 0$$
  $\dot{p}^{ang} = 0$ 

**Primary variables:** 

### Final system of eqs

### *p<sup>th</sup> p<sup>th</sup>umep<sup>t</sup>cal<sup>n</sup>so<sup>TAFL</sup>* Computational procedure

 $\mathbf{u}_{s}$ 



# Angiogenesis

Modeled phenomena and numerical results

### Angiogenesis: TAF release $\rightarrow$ ECh production $\rightarrow$ vessel formation d $\Gamma$









### Angiogenesis: TAF release $\rightarrow$ ECh production $\rightarrow$ vessel formation d $\Gamma$

TAF release  

$$\frac{D^{s}\left(\rho^{l}\varepsilon^{l}\omega^{\overline{TAFl}}\right)}{Dt} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho^{l}\varepsilon^{l}\omega^{\overline{TAFl}}\mathbf{v}^{\overline{ls}}\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho^{l}\varepsilon^{l}\omega^{\overline{TAFl}}\mathbf{u}^{\overline{OXYl}}\right) + \rho^{l}\varepsilon^{l}\omega^{\overline{TAFl}}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}} = \overset{t \to TAFl}{M}$$





### Angiogenesis: TAF release $\rightarrow$ ECh production $\rightarrow$ vessel formation d $\Gamma$

TAF release  

$$\frac{D^{s}\left(\rho^{l}\varepsilon^{l}\omega^{\overline{TAFl}}\right)}{Dt} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho^{l}\varepsilon^{l}\omega^{\overline{TAFl}}\mathbf{v}^{\overline{l}s}\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho^{l}\varepsilon^{l}\omega^{\overline{TAFl}}\mathbf{u}^{\overline{OXYl}}\right) + \rho^{l}\varepsilon^{l}\omega^{\overline{TAFl}}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}} = \overset{t \to TAFl}{M}$$

 $\frac{\mathsf{EC production}}{\mathsf{Dt}} = \frac{\mathsf{D}^{s}\left(\rho^{h}\varepsilon^{h}\omega^{\overline{ECh}}\right)}{\mathsf{Dt}} + \nabla\cdot\left(\rho^{h}\varepsilon^{h}\omega^{\overline{ECh}}\mathbf{u}^{\overline{ECh}}\right) + \nabla\cdot\left(\rho^{h}\varepsilon^{h}\omega^{\overline{ECh}}\mathbf{v}^{\overline{hs}}\right) + \rho^{h}\varepsilon^{h}\omega^{\overline{ECh}}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}} = \overset{l\to ECh}{M} - \overset{ECh\to s}{\underset{ang}{M}}$ 





### Angiogenesis: TAF release $\rightarrow$ ECh production $\rightarrow$ vessel formation dF

$$\frac{\mathsf{TAF release}}{\mathsf{D}^{s}\left(\rho^{l}\varepsilon^{l}\omega^{\overline{TAFl}}\right)} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho^{l}\varepsilon^{l}\omega^{\overline{TAFl}}\mathbf{v}^{\overline{l}s}\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho^{l}\varepsilon^{l}\omega^{\overline{TAFl}}\mathbf{u}^{\overline{OXYl}}\right) + \rho^{l}\varepsilon^{l}\omega^{\overline{TAFl}}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}} = \overset{t \to TAFl}{M}$$

 $\frac{\mathsf{EC production}}{\mathsf{Dt}} = \frac{\mathsf{D}^{s}\left(\rho^{h}\varepsilon^{h}\omega^{\overline{ECh}}\right)}{\mathsf{Dt}} + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho^{h}\varepsilon^{h}\omega^{\overline{ECh}}\mathbf{u}^{\overline{ECh}}\right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho^{h}\varepsilon^{h}\omega^{\overline{ECh}}\mathbf{v}^{\overline{hs}}\right) + \rho^{h}\varepsilon^{h}\omega^{\overline{ECh}}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^{\overline{s}} = \frac{l \to ECh}{M} - \frac{ECh \to s}{M}$ 



### Angiogenesis: TAF release $\rightarrow$ ECh production $\rightarrow$ vessel formation dF









## **Computational Algorithm**

### 1<sup>st</sup> level procedure (called in .dgibi)

#### \$\$\$\$ PASAPAS (ORIGINAL V2016)

'DEBPROC' <b>PASAPAS</b> PRECED*'TABLE'; []			
Line 132:	<b>'REPETER' BEXTERN;</b> (boucle sur pas de temps)		
[…] Line 197: […]	<b>'REPETER' BO_BOTH;</b> (boucle couplage)		
	# CALCUL THERMIQUE		
Line 238:	CHTER = <b>TRANSNON</b> PRECED;		
<i>Line 269:</i> […]	<b>REEV_THE</b> PRECED 1 ;		
	# CALCUL MECANIQUE		
Line 310:	TT = <b>UNPAS</b> PRECED;		
<i>Line 354:</i> […]	<b>REEV_MEC</b> PRECED 1;		
[]	# Test de la convergence méca-thermique !		
<i>Line 411:</i> []	'FIN' BO_BOTH ;		
	<pre>PRECED.'PERSO1 APPEL' = 2 ;</pre>		
	PERSO1 PRECED;		
[]			
Line 703:	'FIN' BEXTERN;		
[]			
'FINPROC'	PRECED ;		

### 2<sup>nd</sup> level procedure (called by pasaps)

#### \$\$\$\$ TRANSNON (ORIGINAL V2016)

- CALL **@MATETHM** (update necrosis,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon^{b}$ ,  $\mathbf{d}_{sw}$ ,  $K_{ij}$ ,  $C_{ij}$  and  $f_{i}$ )
- COMPUTE SOLUTION FOR PRESSURE AND MASS FRACTIONS

#### \$\$\$\$ REEV THE (PERSONAL PROCEDURE)

- CALL <code>@MATETHM</code> (update necrosis,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon^{b}$ ,  $d_{sw}$ ,  $K_{ij}$ ,  $C_{ij}$  and  $f_{i}$ )
- UPDATE of **PRECED** . 'ETAT2'
- PROVIDES SWELLING STRAIN RATE,  $\boldsymbol{d}_{_{\mathrm{SW}}},$  to the mechanical part

\$\$\$\$ UNPAS (ORIGINAL V2016)

COMPUTING OF THE DIPLACEMENT VECTOR  $\boldsymbol{u}^{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{S}}$ 

\$\$\$\$ REEV MEC (PERSONAL PROCEDURE)

COMPUTING OF  $div(v^s)$ , THIS TERM IS NEEDED BY TRANSNON

\$\$\$\$ PERSO1 (PERSONAL PROCEDURE)

- UPDATE THE STATE VARIABLE VECTOR
- PREPARE THE NEXT TIME STEP: PRECED.ETAT1 = PRECED.ETAT2;

## **Computational Algorithm: @MATETHM**

### 3<sup>rd</sup> level procedure

### \$\$\$\$ @MATETHM (PERSONAL PROCEDURE)

```
'DEBPROC' @MATETHM MOD THM*'MMODEL' THPC W*'CHPOINT';
       'REPETER' BZ THM nzone; (boucle THM-zones)
          Call of primary variables
          Computing of dependent variables
          (1) Update of angiogenesis;
          (2) Update of porosity;
           (3) Update of necrosis;
          (4) Update THERMOHYDRIQUE material;
              (3.a) computing of swelling strain \mathbf{d}_{sw}
          (5) Update DIFFUSION material;
            (4.a) K<sub>ii</sub>, C<sub>ii</sub>, f<sub>i</sub> for oxygen advection-diffusion
       'FIN' BZ THM;
[...]
'FINP' MAT1 F0;
```

### 2<sup>nd</sup> level procedure (called by pasaps)

#### \$\$\$\$ TRANSNON (ORIGINAL V2016)

- CALL <code>@MATETHM</code> (update necrosis,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon^{b}$ ,  $d_{sw}$ ,  $K_{ij}$ ,  $C_{ij}$  and  $f_{i}$ )
- COMPUTE SOLUTION FOR PRESSURE AND MASS FRACTIONS

#### \$\$\$\$ REEV THE (PERSONAL PROCEDURE)

- CALL <code>@MATETHM</code> (update necrosis,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon^{b}$ ,  $d_{sw}$ ,  $K_{ij}$ ,  $C_{ij}$  and  $f_{i}$ )
- UPDATE of **PRECED** . 'ETAT2'
- PROVIDES SWELLING STRAIN RATE,  $\boldsymbol{d}_{_{\mathrm{SW}}},$  to the mechanical part



## Micro- Macro- Description in Averaging Theories

### Thermodynamically Constrained Averaging Theory (TCAT)





## Aim of the research project

Simulate microscale multiphase flows in porous media in order to provide closure relation to macroscale model or micro-relevant equations for volume-averaging method. The target applications deal with the fields of petroleum engineering and biomechanics area.





Pore-scale flow for enhanced oil recovery simulation

Micro model for biological multiphase flow Source Giuseppe Sciume ALERT Workshop

### Diffuse interface model

The **description** of the interface's motion is taken into account by the **Cahn-Hilliard** model [1].

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla C - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Pe}} \nabla \cdot (M \nabla \psi) = 0$$
$$\psi = -\lambda \Delta C + \beta \phi'(c)$$

The **Phase-Field model** ensures the **continuity** of the fluid properties through the interface. The interface thickness is controlled by the parameter  $\mathcal{E}$ 

$$\mathcal{E} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\beta}}$$

[1] Faruk O. Alpak, Beatrice Riviere and Florian Frank. A phase-field method for direct simulation of two-phase flows in pore-scale media using a nonequilibrium wetting boundary condition. Comput. Geosci 2016

### Flow dynamic model in a single pore

The **Cahn-Hilliard system** is coupled with the **Navier-Stokes** equation :

$$\overline{\rho} \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}} \nabla \cdot \left[ \overline{\mu} \left( \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla^{t} \mathbf{u} \right) \right] + \overline{f}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$
coupling term

 $\bar{f}$  accounts for the **capillarity forces** between the phases [1]

$$\overline{f} = \frac{\psi \nabla C}{\operatorname{Ca} \operatorname{Re}}$$



## Dynamic boundary conditions for the interface

No flux conditions do not take into account the **wettability** of the solid scaffold. On solid walls, conditions are imposed such as it corresponds to **realistic interface behavior** 

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ sur } \partial \Omega$$
$$\vec{n} \cdot \nabla C = -\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_s\right) \left| \vec{t} \cdot \nabla C \right| + \kappa \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} \text{ sur } \partial \Omega$$

$$M r O$$
  
Phase 1  
 $\theta_s$   
Phase 2

Static angle equilibrium condition at common point

### Numerical results

Even if the full-coupled Cahn-Hilliard/Navier-Stokes model is **not completely validated** yet, **some interesting results has been obtained** with the Fenics Software:



## Conclusion

The Cahn-Hilliard model has been successfully implemented, either with no flux or dynamic angle boundary conditions;

The Navier-Stokes/Cahn-Hilliard system is implemented and is being validated;

## Next steps

 Migrate the code currently under fenics to Castem for compatibility;

 Compute and test upscaling methods for macroscale model;

More complex geometry will be tested in order to study the robustness of the NSCH system;