### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE



# SIMULATIONS OF SFR NEUTRONIC TRANSIENTS FOR CHOSEN MECHANICAL SCENARIOS.



### CLUB CAST3M 2015

### Grzegorz KĘPISTY<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CEA, DEN, DM2S, SERMA <sup>2</sup>AGH University, Krakow, Poland

www.cea.fr



# INTRODUCTION

- The aspects studied during my internship in CEA Saclay will be presented.
  - 2 major topics are involved:
    - Methodology for coupling of mechanical and neutronic simulations (deformed geometry).
    - Selected mechanical excitation scenarios applied to the test fast core model and corresponding neutron responses.



Mechanical part  $\rightarrow$  Cast3M,

neutronic calculation  $\rightarrow$  Cast3M Neutronic Tool (by Cyril PATRICOT)

# PURPOSE OF THE STUDY



Sodium-cooled Fast Reactor is an example of nuclear system operating on neutron flux spectrum and belonging to Gen4 designs.

Compact cores are relatively sensitive to geometrical deformations, that is why tools for neutronic-mechanical coopling are important.

Our attention is focused on the reactor core model which undergoes various mechanical scenarios of short timescale (<1 s).



- I. Mechanical calculation
  - I.1. Numerical and physical model
  - I.2. Mechanical test scenarios
- **II.** Neutronic simulation
  - **II.1.** Description of diffusion neutron solver
  - **II.2.** Results power evolution in system
- **III.** Summary and prospects for the upcomming studies



- I. Mechanical calculation
  - I.1. Numerical and physical model
  - I.2. Mechanical test scenarios
- **II.** Neutronic simulation
  - **II.1.** Description of diffusion neutron solver
  - **II.2.** Results power evolution in system
- **III.** Summary and prospects for the upcomming studies



- The considered geometry comprises a beam of assemblies located on the diagrid.
- The core is surrounded by the lateral neutronic protection (PNL) and immersed in sodium coolant (at rest).







6 fluid elements

Beam element

Coupling between the fluid and structure degrees of freedom

- The system is modeled using finite elements method (FEM).
- Structures are treated as hexagonal bundle of beams that may collide (+impact stiffness).
- The behavior of the structures takes into account behavior of coolant  $\rightarrow$  Fluid-Structure Interaction (FSI).
- Perfect fluid + little displacements  $\rightarrow$  Linear Euler Eqations

$$\rho \overrightarrow{X_L} = -\overrightarrow{\nabla} \mathbf{P}$$
$$P = -\rho c^2 di v \overrightarrow{X_L}$$
$$\overrightarrow{V_L} \cdot \overrightarrow{n} = \overrightarrow{V_S} \cdot \overrightarrow{n}$$



- Dissipation of fluid energy  $\rightarrow$  Rayleigh damping.
- Homogenization of fluid  $\rightarrow$  reduced size of the problem.
- Displacements and rotation only in XY direction. Grzegorz KEPISTY | PAGE 7

# NUMERICAL AND PHYSICAL MODEL (3)



## Meshes of fluid



- Numerical equations derived in  $(U,P,\phi)$  formulation.
- Introduction of additional variable  $\rightarrow$  symmetrical matrices  $\ddot{\phi} = P$
- The final homogeneous equations governing simulation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} M+M^* & 0 & -C+JC'\\ 0 & 0 & -A(\Omega_L/\Omega_T)\\ -C+JC' & -A(\Omega_L/\Omega_T) & -(1-J)G \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{X}_S\\ \ddot{P}\\ \ddot{\varphi} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} K & 0 & 0\\ 0 & A(\Omega_L/\Omega_T) & 0\\ -0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_S\\ P\\ \varphi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Acceptable computational cost for whole-core simulation (~several hours)



# **MECHANICAL TEST SCENARIOS**

Injection of 100 L at the bottom of core.

→ Injection time 0.05 s
→ Located at central fuel assembly
→ Simulation time of 0.25 s

### Weak seismic excitation

- $\rightarrow$  Frequency of 1 Hz
- $\rightarrow$  Amplitude around ~1 cm or less
- $\rightarrow$  Acceleration applied to all elements
- $\rightarrow$  Simulation time of 0.8 s





### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTR

## **MECHANICAL RESULTS: LIQUID INJECTION**

Variation of fuel volume



Radial displacements of assemblies (compression – red, departure – green)



Time steps of 0.025s  $\rightarrow$ 

Grzegorz KĘPISTY | PAGE 10

# Cea

# **MECHANICAL REULTS: LIQUID INJECTION (2)**

### Pressure variation in system



### Displacement of assemblies at the top



#### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRI

## **MECHANICAL REULTS: SEISMIC EXCITATION**

Variation of fuel volume



Horizontal displacement of assemblies (left – red, right – green)



Time steps of 0.1s  $\rightarrow$ 

# Cea

# **MECHANICAL REULTS: SEISMIC EXCITATION (2)**

### Pressure variation in system



### Displacement of assemblies at the top





- I. Mechanical calculation
  - I.1. Numerical and physical model
  - I.2. Mechanical test scenarios
- **II.** Neutronic simulation
  - **II.1. Description of diffusion neutron solver**
  - **II.2.** Results power evolution in system
- **III.** Summary and prospects for the upcomming studies

Neutron transport simplified to multigroup diffusion equation (Fick's law)

Time evolutionTransport/<br/>diffusionremoval<br/>removal $-\frac{1}{V^g} \frac{\partial \phi^g(x)}{\partial t} = \nabla (D^g(x) \nabla \phi^g(x)) - \sigma^g_{disp}(x) \phi^g(x) + \sum_{g' \neq g} \sigma^{g' \to g}_s(x) \phi^{g'}(x) + \chi^g_p(x)(1-\beta) \sum_{g'} v \sigma^{g'}_f(x) \phi^{g'}(x) + \sum_{l} \chi^g_l \lambda_l C^l_l.$ Transfer/arrivalFission productionDelayed neutrons

- Equation above for each energy group of neutrons
- + Set of equations for precursor concentrations



# CAST3M NEUTRONIC TOOL (2)

The novelty of the CNT concerns direct treatment of geometry displacements



- The CNT has been validated with static Monte Carlo simulations using TRIPOLI4 and for keff differences up to several hundreds pcm.
- Comparable simulations for full-core models were done using APOLLO3
- Set of parametric studies suggest generally correct behavior of result



One way coupling with mechanical simulation



End-of-step displacements are transfered to neutron diffusion calculations

Parametric study helped to optimize the length of time step for CNT

#### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

# **NEUTRON DIFFUSION RESULTS – FLUID INJECTION**

Power evolution during neutronic transient...

Point injection 100 L - Power variation з Change of power in system 1,5 1,5 2,0 Caste... 0 0,1 0,2 0 0,05 0,15 0,25 Time (s) Point injection 100 L - Fuel zone variation 1,0% 0,8% Relative variation of core volume - dV/V - point. 0,6% 0,4% 0,2% 0,0% 0,1 0,2 0,05 -0,2% -0,4% -0,6% -0,8% Time (s)

... strongy correlated with variation of active volume

#### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

# **NEUTRON DIFFUSION RESULTS – SEISMIC EXCITATION**

Power evolution during neutronic transient...

... strongy correlated with variation of active volume





- I. Mechanical calculation
  - I.1. Numerical and physical model
  - I.2. Mechanical test scenarios
- **II.** Neutronic simulation
  - **II.1.** Description of diffusion neutron solver
  - **II.2.** Results power evolution in system

## **III.** Summary and prospects for the upcomming studies



- Structure mechanics models were used together with neutronic models in Cast3M.
- New methodology for neutron-mecanics linking for Sodium Fast Reactors was established, validated and tested.

Cast3M Neutronic Tool stands as a general tool for a large variety of mechanical excitations and short scenarios.

- CNT is useful for current and upcomming safety assessments concerning Gen4 systems and framework of ASTRID Project.
- Code is currently intensively applied to model various scenarious for better understanding of core behavior



## **PROSPECTS FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT**

### FSI:

- Taking into account steady state movement of the fluid
- Applying turbulent flow models for the liquid sodium

### Structure mechanics:

- Adding verical (Z) degree of freedom to mechanical model of solid

### Neutronics

Improving neutron diffusion model



# Thank you for attention